

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
FO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Veginia 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

10/009,610 04/15/2002 Stuart Bernard Fraser C3913 (C) 5025  201 7590 09/09/2003  UNILEVER PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER, NJ 07020	APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
UNILEVER PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER, NJ 07020  EXAMINER HARDEE, JOHN R	10/009,610	04/1	5/2002	Stuart Bernard Fraser	C3913 (C)	5025	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER, NJ 07020 HARDEE, JOHN R	201	7590	09/09/2003				
45 RIVER ROAD HARDEE, JOHN R EDGEWATER, NJ 07020	*		<b>.</b> .	•	EXAMINER		
,			1		HARDEE,	JOHN R	
	EDGEWATE	R, NJ 0702	20		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
					1751		
1751					DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	10/009,610	FRASER, STUART B	ERNARD
	omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE AND	John R Hardee	1751	
Period 10	• •			SS
- Extended after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a report within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTICE CAUSE the application to be 2000.	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠				
3)□	/	s action is non-final.	•	
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	nce except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the mo	erits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	••	•	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		_	
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application	on Papers	•		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b)  disa	approved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1,20			
13) 🗌 🛚 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	C. Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list o	y documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	9 .
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ication)
a) [ 15)∐ Ac	☐ The translation of the foreign language provel knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been	n received.	Cauon).
Attachment(s				
2)  Notice ( 3)  Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
Patent and Trade OL-326 (Rev	04.04)	on Summary	Part of Paner	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-14 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19,751,151 A1 for the reasons of record in the previous office action.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed August 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that not all cationic stabilizing agents which meet the recited structural requirements will also meet the recited phase transition limitations. While this may be so, as long as *any* of the cationic agents of the prior art meet both the structural limitations and the phase transition limitations, a prima facie case of obviousness exists. Applicant has not contested this point, and the Office does not have the resources to determine phase transition temperatures.

Applicant argues that the DE sets out to solve a different problem from that of the applicants: The prior art provides a method of avoiding gelation in a fabric softener, and applicants, by contrast, wish to deliver a set and consistent amount of fragrance in a fabric softener. This is not persuasive for two reasons. First, the problem of both applicant and the prior art, viewed broadly, is the production of fabric softeners. Second, the reason or motivation to modify the reference may often suggest what the inventor

Application/Control Number: 10/009,610

Art Unit: 1751

has done, but for a different purpose or to solve a different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. *In re Lintner*, 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972).

As applicant has noted, the DE discloses the addition of up to 10% of a cationic emulsifier. This meets the limitations as newly amended. Accordingly, the 103 rejection is maintained.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (703) 308-4708.

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John R. Hardee Primary Examiner

September 5, 2003